	Case 1:24-cv-01556-KES-BAM Documer	nt 15	Filed 11/10/25	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	MAXSONY COISSY,	Ca	se No. 1:24-cv-015	556-KES-BAM (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,		RDER ADOPTING		
13	v.		RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSIN ACTION	NS AND DISMISSING	
14	DOER, et al.,	(D	oc. 14)		
15	Defendants.				
16					
17	Plaintiff Maxsony Coissy is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in				
18	this civil rights action filed pursuant to Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Fed. Bureau of				
19	Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), and pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. §§ 2401,				
20	et seq. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C.				
21	§ 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.				
22	On July 18, 2025, the assigned magistrate judge screened the complaint and granted				
23	plaintiff leave to file a first amended complaint or notice of voluntary dismissal within thirty				
24	days. Doc. 13. The screening order was returned as "Undeliverable, Return to Sender, Refused"				
25	on August 4, 2025. On September 11, 2025, the magistrate judge issued findings and				
26	recommendations to dismiss this action, with prejudice, for failure to obey a court order, failure to				
27	prosecute, and for failure to state a claim. Doc. 14. The findings and recommendations were				
28	served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within				
		1			

	Case 1:24-cv-01556-KES-BAM Document 15 Filed 11/10/25 Page 2 of 2				
1	fourteen days after service. <i>Id.</i> at 17. Plaintiff has not filed objections, and the time to do so has				
2	expired.				
3	In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court has conducted a de novo review of				
4	the case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the Court concludes that the findings and				
5	recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.				
6	Accordingly:				
7	1. The findings and recommendations issued on September 11, 2025, Doc. 14, are				
8	adopted, except that the dismissal is without prejudice;				
9	2. This action is dismissed without prejudice; and				
10	3. The Clerk of this Court is directed to close this case.				
11					
12					
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
14	Dated: November 9, 2025 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
2627					
21					